

# Hobart and William Smith Colleges

## Fall 2017 Final Course Evaluations

**Course:** ECON301-02: Micro. Theory and Pol.-02-ECON 301-02

**Instructor:** XINTONG WANG \*

### 1 - On average, the number of hours per week I worked out of class on this course was:

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Less than 1	(1)	0	0%		3.09
1-3	(2)	5	21.74%		
4-6	(3)	13	56.52%		
7-9	(4)	3	13.04%		
10+	(5)	2	8.7%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Instructor
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>		
23/32 (71.88%)	3.09	0.85	3.00		

### 2 - Over the entire semester, I sought help from the professor out of class...

XINTONG WANG

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Never	(1)	2	8.7%		2.74
1 or 2 times	(2)	9	39.13%		
3 or 4 times	(3)	5	21.74%		
5 or more times	(4)	7	30.43%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Instructor
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>		
23/32 (71.88%)	2.74	1.01	3.00		

### 3 - Over the entire semester, I missed class...

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Never	(1)	4	17.39%		1.96
1 or 2 times	(2)	17	73.91%		
3 or 4 times	(3)	1	4.35%		
5 or more times	(4)	1	4.35%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Instructor
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>		
23/32 (71.88%)	1.96	0.64	2.00		

### 4 - The grade I expect to get in this course is...

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
A	(1)	17	73.91%		1.26
B	(2)	6	26.09%		
C	(3)	0	0%		
D	(4)	0	0%		
F	(5)	0	0%		
CR/NC/CRD	(6)	0	0%		
Incomplete	(7)	0	0%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Instructor
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>		
23/32 (71.88%)	1.26	0.45	1.00		

**Hobart and William Smith Colleges**  
**Fall 2017 Final Course Evaluations**

**Course:** ECON301-02: Micro. Theory and Pol.-02-ECON 301-02

**Instructor:** XINTONG WANG \*

5 - The grade I think I deserve is...									
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means	
A	(1)	17	73.91%					1.26 	
B	(2)	6	26.09%						
C	(3)	0	0%						
D	(4)	0	0%						
F	(5)	0	0%						
CR/NC/CRD	(6)	0	0%						
Incomplete	(7)	0	0%						
				0	25	50	75	100	Instructor
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>						
23/32 (71.88%)	1.26	0.45	1.00						

6 - The professor encouraged my interest in the subject.									
XINTONG WANG									
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means	
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0%					3.74 	
Disagree	(2)	0	0%						
Neutral	(3)	8	34.78%						
Agree	(4)	13	56.52%						
Strongly agree	(5)	2	8.7%						
No Opinion/Not Applicable	(0)	0	0%						
				0	25	50	75	100	Instructor
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>						
23/32 (71.88%)	3.74	0.62	4.00						

7 - The professor helped me to understand new concepts and ideas.									
XINTONG WANG									
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means	
Strongly disagree	(1)	1	4.35%					3.87 	
Disagree	(2)	0	0%						
Neutral	(3)	5	21.74%						
Agree	(4)	12	52.17%						
Strongly agree	(5)	5	21.74%						
No Opinion/Not Applicable	(0)	0	0%						
				0	25	50	75	100	Instructor
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>						
23/32 (71.88%)	3.87	0.92	4.00						

- she helped fill the gap by bumping up the grades, but I don't feel as though I learned the material well.
- Although she is a great teacher, the language barrier affected my ability to understand concepts.

**Hobart and William Smith Colleges**  
**Fall 2017 Final Course Evaluations**

**Course:** ECON301-02: Micro. Theory and Pol.-02-ECON 301-02  
**Instructor:** XINTONG WANG \*

**8 - In taking this course I learned new skills and/or gained new perspectives.**

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0%		4.09
Disagree	(2)	0	0%		
Neutral	(3)	5	21.74%		
Agree	(4)	11	47.83%		
Strongly agree	(5)	7	30.43%		
No Opinion/Not Applicable	(0)	0	0%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Instructor
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>		
23/32 (71.88%)	4.09	0.73	4.00		

**9 - In taking this course I substantially increased my knowledge of the subject.**

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0%		4.04
Disagree	(2)	2	8.7%		
Neutral	(3)	2	8.7%		
Agree	(4)	12	52.17%		
Strongly agree	(5)	7	30.43%		
No Opinion/Not Applicable	(0)	0	0%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Instructor
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>		
23/32 (71.88%)	4.04	0.88	4.00		

**10 - The professor held me to a high standard of performance.**

**XINTONG WANG**

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0%		3.96
Disagree	(2)	2	8.7%		
Neutral	(3)	3	13.04%		
Agree	(4)	12	52.17%		
Strongly agree	(5)	6	26.09%		
No Opinion/Not Applicable	(0)	0	0%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Instructor
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>		
23/32 (71.88%)	3.96	0.88	4.00		

\* not the professors fault though, majority of students were being lazy and slowed the pace of the class down by not doing external readings.

**11 - The professor's expectations were clear (e.g., course objectives, grading, attendance policy, nature and content of assignments, students' responsibilities, etc.).**

**XINTONG WANG**

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0%		4.14
Disagree	(2)	0	0%		
Neutral	(3)	5	22.73%		
Agree	(4)	9	40.91%		
Strongly agree	(5)	8	36.36%		
No Opinion/Not Applicable	(0)	0	0%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Instructor
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>		
22/32 (68.75%)	4.14	0.77	4.00		

## Hobart and William Smith Colleges Fall 2017 Final Course Evaluations

**Course:** ECON301-02: Micro. Theory and Pol.-02-ECON 301-02

**Instructor:** XINTONG WANG \*

### 12 - The class meetings enhanced my understanding of the material.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0%		3.96
Disagree	(2)	2	8.7%		
Neutral	(3)	4	17.39%		
Agree	(4)	10	43.48%		
Strongly agree	(5)	7	30.43%		
No Opinion/Not Applicable	(0)	0	0%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Instructor
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median		
23/32 (71.88%)	3.96	0.93	4.00		

• At first it didn't, but after her evaluation, class meetings were a lot better.

• I never really had learned anything in class... I found myself teaching myself a lot and seeking out help

### 13 - The professor provided feedback on my work in a timely fashion.

XINTONG WANG

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0%		4.57
Disagree	(2)	0	0%		
Neutral	(3)	0	0%		
Agree	(4)	10	43.48%		
Strongly agree	(5)	13	56.52%		
No Opinion/Not Applicable	(0)	0	0%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Instructor
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median		
23/32 (71.88%)	4.57	0.51	5.00		

### 14 - The professor provided feedback on my work in a constructive fashion.

XINTONG WANG

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0%		4.39
Disagree	(2)	0	0%		
Neutral	(3)	2	8.7%		
Agree	(4)	10	43.48%		
Strongly agree	(5)	11	47.83%		
No Opinion/Not Applicable	(0)	0	0%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Instructor
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median		
23/32 (71.88%)	4.39	0.66	4.00		

**Hobart and William Smith Colleges**  
**Fall 2017 Final Course Evaluations**

**Course:** ECON301-02: Micro. Theory and Pol.-02-ECON 301-02  
**Instructor:** XINTONG WANG \*

**15 - The professor was accessible to me outside of class time (e.g. office hours, email, chat or phone, etc).**

**XINTONG WANG**

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0%		4.74
Disagree	(2)	0	0%		
Neutral	(3)	0	0%		
Agree	(4)	6	26.09%		
Strongly agree	(5)	17	73.91%		
No Opinion/Not Applicable	(0)	0	0%		
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>		
23/32 (71.88%)	4.74	0.45	5.00		

**16 - I felt included and respected in the classroom.**

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0%		4.70
Disagree	(2)	0	0%		
Neutral	(3)	0	0%		
Agree	(4)	7	30.43%		
Strongly agree	(5)	16	69.57%		
No Opinion/Not Applicable	(0)	0	0%		
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>		
23/32 (71.88%)	4.70	0.47	5.00		

**17 - How often do you read assigned material before it is covered in class?**

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Never	(1)	0	0%		3.35
rarely	(2)	5	21.74%		
sometimes	(3)	7	30.43%		
often	(4)	9	39.13%		
always	(5)	2	8.7%		
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>		
23/32 (71.88%)	3.35	0.93	3.00		

**18 - How would you describe the amount of effort you invested in this course?**

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
1 Much less than for others	(1)	0	0%		3.87
2	(2)	1	4.35%		
3 The same as other courses	(3)	8	34.78%		
4	(4)	7	30.43%		
5 Much more than for others	(5)	7	30.43%		
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>		
23/32 (71.88%)	3.87	0.92	4.00		

**Hobart and William Smith Colleges**  
**Fall 2017 Final Course Evaluations**

**Course:** ECON301-02: Micro. Theory and Pol.-02-ECON 301-02  
**Instructor:** XINTONG WANG \*

**19 - Do you feel the grading in this course was . . .**

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
1 easy	(1)	1	4.35%		3.00
2	(2)	5	21.74%		
3 typical for HWS	(3)	10	43.48%		
4	(4)	7	30.43%		
5 difficult	(5)	0	0%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Instructor
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>		
23/32 (71.88%)	3.00	0.85	3.00		

**20 - Grading standards in this course were uniformly applied to all students.**

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
1 strongly disagree	(1)	1	4.35%		3.74
2	(2)	1	4.35%		
3 agree	(3)	9	39.13%		
4	(4)	4	17.39%		
5 strongly agree	(5)	8	34.78%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Instructor
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>		
23/32 (71.88%)	3.74	1.14	4.00		

**21 - How well did the instructor explain how you were to be graded/evaluated in this Class?**

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
1 poorly	(1)	0	0%		4.00
2	(2)	0	0%		
3 adequately	(3)	8	34.78%		
4	(4)	7	30.43%		
5 very well	(5)	8	34.78%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Instructor
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>		
23/32 (71.88%)	4.00	0.85	4.00		

**22 - Over the semester, how often did you seek out the professor during his/her office hours?**

**XINTONG WANG**

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
never	(1)	2	8.7%		2.35
1-4 times	(2)	14	60.87%		
5-10 times	(3)	4	17.39%		
More than 10 times	(4)	3	13.04%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Instructor
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>		
23/32 (71.88%)	2.35	0.83	2.00		

**Hobart and William Smith Colleges**  
**Fall 2017 Final Course Evaluations**

**Course:** ECON301-02: Micro. Theory and Pol.-02-ECON 301-02  
**Instructor:** XINTONG WANG \*

**23 - Rate the general accessibility of the professor (via phone, email, office hours, etc.)**

**XINTONG WANG**

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means			
1 poor	(1)	0	0%								
2	(2)	0	0%								
3 good	(3)	5	22.73%								
4	(4)	2	9.09%								
5 excellent	(5)	15	68.18%								
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>								
22/32 (68.75%)	4.45	0.86	5.00								

**24 - Evaluate the ability of the professor to communicate ideas**

**XINTONG WANG**

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means			
1 poor	(1)	1	4.35%								
2	(2)	4	17.39%								
3 good	(3)	10	43.48%								
4	(4)	6	26.09%								
5 excellent	(5)	2	8.7%								
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>								
23/32 (71.88%)	3.17	0.98	3.00								

**25 - How would you rate the adequacy of the professor's preparation for the class?**

**XINTONG WANG**

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means			
1 poor	(1)	0	0%								
2	(2)	0	0%								
3 good	(3)	5	21.74%								
4	(4)	11	47.83%								
5 excellent	(5)	7	30.43%								
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>								
23/32 (71.88%)	4.09	0.73	4.00								

**26 - Does the professor encourage students to ask questions, express their own ideas, etc.?**

**XINTONG WANG**

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means			
1 not encouraging	(1)	0	0%								
2	(2)	4	17.39%								
3 somewhat encouraging	(3)	6	26.09%								
4	(4)	7	30.43%								
5 very encouraging	(5)	6	26.09%								
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>								
23/32 (71.88%)	3.65	1.07	4.00								

**Hobart and William Smith Colleges**  
**Fall 2017 Final Course Evaluations**

**Course:** ECON301-02: Micro. Theory and Pol.-02-ECON 301-02  
**Instructor:** XINTONG WANG \*

**27 - Rate the professor's ability to stimulate your interest in the subject of this course.**

**XINTONG WANG**

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
1 poor	(1)	1	4.35%		3.13
2	(2)	3	13.04%		
3 good	(3)	13	56.52%		
4	(4)	4	17.39%		
5 excellent	(5)	2	8.7%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Instructor
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>		
23/32 (71.88%)	3.13	0.92	3.00		

**28 - Overall, how would you rate this instructor?**

**XINTONG WANG**

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
1 poor	(1)	0	0%		3.57
2	(2)	2	8.7%		
3 good	(3)	9	39.13%		
4	(4)	9	39.13%		
5 excellent	(5)	3	13.04%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Instructor
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>		
23/32 (71.88%)	3.57	0.84	4.00		

**29 - How would you evaluate the level of difficulty of the course?**

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
1 Underestimated my abilities	(1)	0	0%		3.43
2	(2)	2	8.7%		
3 correctly estimated my abilities	(3)	10	43.48%		
4	(4)	10	43.48%		
5 overestimated my abilities	(5)	1	4.35%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Instructor
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>		
23/32 (71.88%)	3.43	0.73	3.00		

**30 - How would you rate the contribution of the readings to the course?**

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
1 poor	(1)	0	0%		3.61
2	(2)	1	4.35%		
3 good	(3)	13	56.52%		
4	(4)	3	13.04%		
5 excellent	(5)	6	26.09%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Instructor
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>		
23/32 (71.88%)	3.61	0.94	3.00		



## Hobart and William Smith Colleges Fall 2017 Final Course Evaluations

**Course:** ECON301-02: Micro. Theory and Pol.-02-ECON 301-02

**Instructor:** XINTONG WANG \*

### 31 - How much has your knowledge of the topic of this course increased?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
1 very little	(1)	1	4.55%		3.64
2	(2)	1	4.55%		
3 somewhat	(3)	6	27.27%		
4	(4)	11	50%		
5 very much	(5)	3	13.64%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Instructor
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>		
22/32 (68.75%)	3.64	0.95	4.00		

### 32 - How would you rate this course?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
1 poor	(1)	1	4.55%		3.59
2	(2)	2	9.09%		
3 good	(3)	6	27.27%		
4	(4)	9	40.91%		
5 excellent	(5)	4	18.18%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Instructor
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>		
22/32 (68.75%)	3.59	1.05	4.00		

### 33 - What did you like best about this course?

- Teacher really showed us good strategies to solve the microeconomic problems and wrote out the work to make it clear.
- im not trying to be that rude person, but honestly nothing.
- I enjoyed the articles as well as the last homework assignment.
- Extra credit opportunities
- I enjoyed the workbooks and the set up of class. I think as the semester progressed there was a tremendous amount of improvement prof the professor. The first couple weeks the class was confusing and hard to follow along, but by half way professor Wang made major improvements on getting the ideas across to us.
- Not much, but it was nice to succeed in such a challenging course.
- A lot of opportunities to increase your grade. Professor is very approachable.
- I really liked the outside readings she assigned. Specifically, the ones regarding everyday events or businesses that affect everyone ie. Food Truck, News Room competition, war, etc.
- I liked some of the podcasts and outside readings that connected microeconomic concepts to the outside world.
- I really liked how she paired up readings to go with the topics-- it helped make the material more relevant or at least better understand how it was connected to the world around us.
- The amount of opportunities to get a better grade and extra credit
- I liked the extra credit questions on external readings. It gave me a reason to want to do the reading that wasn't in the textbook.
- many extra credit opportunities;
- Being able to work with friends in order to increase our understanding of the topic.
- Reading on Giffen Goods, guest lecture on game theory in relationship to relationships and marriage, other external readings, problem sets.
- The extra credit opportunities and the review sessions before the exam, that is when the information was the most clear.

# Hobart and William Smith Colleges

## Fall 2017 Final Course Evaluations

**Course:** ECON301-02: Micro. Theory and Pol.-02-ECON 301-02

**Instructor:** XINTONG WANG \*

### 34 - How could this course be improved?

- Better visuals and notes.
- I feel as though the why in why we are learning all of this could have been improved.
- I felt as though some of the homework assignments were very long, and at times the syllabus was inaccurate
- Engage with students more
- I have no idea, it is a pretty standard course and I don't know how it could be made easier or more interesting/
- More relevant homework assignments to practice the material
- The students could be more involved in the class meetings, which were mostly lecture-based, aside from occasional questions on outside readings.
- I struggled a little at the beginning, so maybe how she did practice problems from the problem sets in class at the last half of the year- do that in the beginning too because that was really helpful to see and hear her work through a problem similar to the ones we were doing.
- Possibly do more in class group work to help communicate ideas in smaller groups.
- I think we should have more practice on the concepts we learned. It may be better if we have practice problems daily or weekly in an appropriate amount, or right after we covered new materials And it would be better if the notes on board are clearer, and that more interactions with students may be better.
- Not sure
- Economics majors need to be help to a higher standard in general. I am an architecture major and honestly find that the majority of econ majors are rude in class, sit on Facebook or online shop, and then expect test corrections and a good grade. Probably a lot of students will write many ways which the teaching of the course could be improved, but honestly the econ department as a whole needs to start holding students to higher standards and stop letting them rely on test corrections and teaching fellows.
- Better real world examples for problems.

### 35 - Would you recommend this professor to a friend? Yes No Why or why not?

#### XINTONG WANG

- Yes.
- No, she is very nice and knowledgable, but I don't feel as though she was particularly effective at teaching the material. Things were not explained well the entire semester, and I rarely understood why we were learning something. I consider myself to be a relatively good student, and if I were having trouble with this, im sure others would, which is why I would not recommend it when taught by this professor.
- Yes. Professor Wang is incredibly helpful. If you do not understand the material, she will always clarify in office hours and she has a lot of availability.
- Yes. Although understanding the material was difficult, professor Wang offered assistance and extra help when needed. Sometimes she has trouble explaining some of the material, but given her preparation for class, and her level of understanding for students and their responsibilities, I would recommend.
- Yes
- Yes
- Yes, she is a nice Professor who is just starting out and developing her teaching style. I can tell she is very intelligent and I expect with practice she will get better and better at teaching.
- Yes, she is very approachable/understanding.
- I would recommend this professor to my friend because the environment she creates in class is great for learning.
- While I would not have recommended Professor Wang to a friend earlier in the semester, she did a great job responding to feedback from the students, particularly around the middle of the semester, so the second half of the course went much better than the first. I am now much more likely to recommend her.
- yes-- she cares a lot about the students, and while the material is tough, it is clear she wants us to do well so she is very helpful and willing to work through what we do not understand
- Yes, Professor Wang is a very fair professor. She cares a lot about her students and dedicates a lot of time.
- Yes, Gives great opportunities for success
- Yes, she was very helpful if you didn't understand certain information.
- Yes. She is friendly and knowledgeable.
- Yes, she is very considerate of her students and is always available if you need help.
- Yes. She works very hard, is patient and available outside of class.
- I would recommend this professor once her ability to explain the content of the course improved. It is clear that she knows this topic well but the translation from her perspective to the perspective of the student is not clear. This course was very math heavy and it is hard to follow when we as students do not fully understand why we perform operation x here and here.

### 36 - Other comments:

- n/a
- This course improved significantly after Professor Wang got feedback from the students around the midpoint of the semester.
- Thank you!
- Professor Wang wanted to be better and after we meet with the CTL lady she took the information we gave her and improved the class.

## Hobart and William Smith Colleges

### Fall 2017 Final Course Evaluations

**Course:** ECON301-02: Micro. Theory and Pol.-02-ECON 301-02

**Instructor:** XINTONG WANG \*

#### 37 - Which of the following describes why you enrolled in this course (not limited to one response)?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Required for Major	(1)	19	86.36%		
Required for Minor	(2)	3	13.64%		
General Interest	(3)	3	13.64%		
Reputation of the course	(4)	0	0%		
Reputation of the professor	(5)	0	0%		
				0 25 50 75 100	

#### 38 - Which College do you attend:

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Hobart	(1)	15	68.18%		1.32
William Smith	(2)	7	31.82%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Instructor
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>		
22/32 (68.75%)	1.32	0.48	1.00		

#### 39 - Are you currently a:

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
First Year	(1)	0	0%		3.14
Sophomore	(2)	1	4.55%		
Junior	(3)	17	77.27%		
Senior	(4)	4	18.18%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Instructor
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>		
22/32 (68.75%)	3.14	0.47	3.00		