

Hobart and William Smith Colleges

Fall 2017 Final Course Evaluations

Course: ECON301-01: Micro. Theory and Pol.-01-ECON 301-01

Instructor: XINTONG WANG *

1 - On average, the number of hours per week I worked out of class on this course was:

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Less than 1	(1)	0	0%		3.29
1-3	(2)	1	14.29%		
4-6	(3)	4	57.14%		
7-9	(4)	1	14.29%		
10+	(5)	1	14.29%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Instructor
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median		
7/16 (43.75%)	3.29	0.95	3.00		

2 - Over the entire semester, I sought help from the professor out of class...

XINTONG WANG

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Never	(1)	0	0%		2.86
1 or 2 times	(2)	2	28.57%		
3 or 4 times	(3)	4	57.14%		
5 or more times	(4)	1	14.29%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Instructor
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median		
7/16 (43.75%)	2.86	0.69	3.00		

3 - Over the entire semester, I missed class...

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Never	(1)	1	14.29%		2.14
1 or 2 times	(2)	4	57.14%		
3 or 4 times	(3)	2	28.57%		
5 or more times	(4)	0	0%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Instructor
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median		
7/16 (43.75%)	2.14	0.69	2.00		

4 - The grade I expect to get in this course is...

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
A	(1)	4	57.14%		1.57
B	(2)	2	28.57%		
C	(3)	1	14.29%		
D	(4)	0	0%		
F	(5)	0	0%		
CR/NC/CRD	(6)	0	0%		
Incomplete	(7)	0	0%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Instructor
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median		
7/16 (43.75%)	1.57	0.79	1.00		

Hobart and William Smith Colleges
Fall 2017 Final Course Evaluations

Course: ECON301-01: Micro. Theory and Pol.-01-ECON 301-01
Instructor: XINTONG WANG *

5 - The grade I think I deserve is...										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means		
A	(1)	4	57.14%					1.71 		
B	(2)	1	14.29%							
C	(3)	2	28.57%							
D	(4)	0	0%							
F	(5)	0	0%							
CR/NC/CRD	(6)	0	0%							
Incomplete	(7)	0	0%							
				0	25	50	75	100	Instructor	
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median							
7/16 (43.75%)	1.71	0.95	1.00							

6 - The professor encouraged my interest in the subject.										
XINTONG WANG										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means		
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0%					3.86 		
Disagree	(2)	0	0%							
Neutral	(3)	2	28.57%							
Agree	(4)	4	57.14%							
Strongly agree	(5)	1	14.29%							
No Opinion/Not Applicable	(0)	0	0%							
										0
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median							
7/16 (43.75%)	3.86	0.69	4.00							

7 - The professor helped me to understand new concepts and ideas.										
XINTONG WANG										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means		
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0%					4.00 		
Disagree	(2)	0	0%							
Neutral	(3)	1	14.29%							
Agree	(4)	5	71.43%							
Strongly agree	(5)	1	14.29%							
No Opinion/Not Applicable	(0)	0	0%							
										0
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median							
7/16 (43.75%)	4.00	0.58	4.00							

8 - In taking this course I learned new skills and/or gained new perspectives.										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means		
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0%					4.14 		
Disagree	(2)	0	0%							
Neutral	(3)	1	14.29%							
Agree	(4)	4	57.14%							
Strongly agree	(5)	2	28.57%							
No Opinion/Not Applicable	(0)	0	0%							
										0
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median							
7/16 (43.75%)	4.14	0.69	4.00							

Hobart and William Smith Colleges
Fall 2017 Final Course Evaluations

Course: ECON301-01: Micro. Theory and Pol.-01-ECON 301-01
Instructor: XINTONG WANG *

9 - In taking this course I substantially increased my knowledge of the subject.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means				
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0%									
Disagree	(2)	0	0%									
Neutral	(3)	1	14.29%									
Agree	(4)	5	71.43%									
Strongly agree	(5)	1	14.29%									
No Opinion/Not Applicable	(0)	0	0%									
				0	25	50	75	100	Instructor			
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median									
7/16 (43.75%)	4.00	0.58	4.00									

10 - The professor held me to a high standard of performance.

XINTONG WANG

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means				
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0%									
Disagree	(2)	0	0%									
Neutral	(3)	2	28.57%									
Agree	(4)	3	42.86%									
Strongly agree	(5)	2	28.57%									
No Opinion/Not Applicable	(0)	0	0%									
				0	25	50	75	100	Instructor			
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median									
7/16 (43.75%)	4.00	0.82	4.00									

11 - The professor's expectations were clear (e.g., course objectives, grading, attendance policy, nature and content of assignments, students' responsibilities, etc.).

XINTONG WANG

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means				
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0%									
Disagree	(2)	0	0%									
Neutral	(3)	1	14.29%									
Agree	(4)	5	71.43%									
Strongly agree	(5)	1	14.29%									
No Opinion/Not Applicable	(0)	0	0%									
				0	25	50	75	100	Instructor			
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median									
7/16 (43.75%)	4.00	0.58	4.00									

• After the mid term the professor took notes on how she could improve the class. Since then the class has gotten much better.

12 - The class meetings enhanced my understanding of the material.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means				
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0%									
Disagree	(2)	0	0%									
Neutral	(3)	1	14.29%									
Agree	(4)	4	57.14%									
Strongly agree	(5)	2	28.57%									
No Opinion/Not Applicable	(0)	0	0%									
				0	25	50	75	100	Instructor			
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median									
7/16 (43.75%)	4.14	0.69	4.00									

Hobart and William Smith Colleges
Fall 2017 Final Course Evaluations

Course: ECON301-01: Micro. Theory and Pol.-01-ECON 301-01
Instructor: XINTONG WANG *

13 - The professor provided feedback on my work in a timely fashion.

XINTONG WANG

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means			
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0%					<p>4.14</p>			
Disagree	(2)	0	0%								
Neutral	(3)	1	14.29%								
Agree	(4)	4	57.14%								
Strongly agree	(5)	2	28.57%								
No Opinion/Not Applicable	(0)	0	0%								
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median								
7/16 (43.75%)	4.14	0.69	4.00								

14 - The professor provided feedback on my work in a constructive fashion.

XINTONG WANG

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means			
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0%					<p>3.86</p>			
Disagree	(2)	0	0%								
Neutral	(3)	2	28.57%								
Agree	(4)	4	57.14%								
Strongly agree	(5)	1	14.29%								
No Opinion/Not Applicable	(0)	0	0%								
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median								
7/16 (43.75%)	3.86	0.69	4.00								

15 - The professor was accessible to me outside of class time (e.g. office hours, email, chat or phone, etc).

XINTONG WANG

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means			
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0%					<p>4.14</p>			
Disagree	(2)	0	0%								
Neutral	(3)	1	14.29%								
Agree	(4)	4	57.14%								
Strongly agree	(5)	2	28.57%								
No Opinion/Not Applicable	(0)	0	0%								
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median								
7/16 (43.75%)	4.14	0.69	4.00								

16 - I felt included and respected in the classroom.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means			
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0%					<p>4.14</p>			
Disagree	(2)	0	0%								
Neutral	(3)	1	14.29%								
Agree	(4)	4	57.14%								
Strongly agree	(5)	2	28.57%								
No Opinion/Not Applicable	(0)	0	0%								
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median								
7/16 (43.75%)	4.14	0.69	4.00								

Hobart and William Smith Colleges Fall 2017 Final Course Evaluations

Course: ECON301-01: Micro. Theory and Pol.-01-ECON 301-01

Instructor: XINTONG WANG *

17 - How often do you read assigned material before it is covered in class?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Never	(1)	0	0%		3.43
rarely	(2)	2	28.57%		
sometimes	(3)	1	14.29%		
often	(4)	3	42.86%		
always	(5)	1	14.29%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Instructor
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median		
7/16 (43.75%)	3.43	1.13	4.00		

18 - How would you describe the amount of effort you invested in this course?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
1 Much less than for others	(1)	0	0%		3.57
2	(2)	0	0%		
3 The same as other courses	(3)	3	42.86%		
4	(4)	4	57.14%		
5 Much more than for others	(5)	0	0%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Instructor
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median		
7/16 (43.75%)	3.57	0.53	4.00		

19 - Do you feel the grading in this course was . . .

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
1 easy	(1)	0	0%		2.86
2	(2)	2	28.57%		
3 typical for HWS	(3)	4	57.14%		
4	(4)	1	14.29%		
5 difficult	(5)	0	0%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Instructor
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median		
7/16 (43.75%)	2.86	0.69	3.00		

20 - Grading standards in this course were uniformly applied to all students.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
1 strongly disagree	(1)	0	0%		3.71
2	(2)	1	14.29%		
3 agree	(3)	3	42.86%		
4	(4)	0	0%		
5 strongly agree	(5)	3	42.86%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Instructor
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median		
7/16 (43.75%)	3.71	1.25	3.00		

Hobart and William Smith Colleges
Fall 2017 Final Course Evaluations

Course: ECON301-01: Micro. Theory and Pol.-01-ECON 301-01
Instructor: XINTONG WANG *

21 - How well did the instructor explain how you were to be graded/evaluated in this Class?										
XINTONG WANG										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means		
1 poorly	(1)	0	0%					4.00		
2	(2)	0	0%							
3 adequately	(3)	3	42.86%							
4	(4)	1	14.29%							
5 very well	(5)	3	42.86%							
				0	25	50	75	100	Instructor	
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median							
7/16 (43.75%)	4.00	1.00	4.00							

22 - Over the semester, how often did you seek out the professor during his/her office hours?										
XINTONG WANG										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means		
never	(1)	0	0%					2.14		
1-4 times	(2)	6	85.71%							
5-10 times	(3)	1	14.29%							
More than 10 times	(4)	0	0%							
				0	25	50	75	100	Instructor	
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median							
7/16 (43.75%)	2.14	0.38	2.00							

23 - Rate the general accessibility of the professor (via phone, email, office hours, etc.)										
XINTONG WANG										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means		
1 poor	(1)	0	0%					4.00		
2	(2)	0	0%							
3 good	(3)	3	42.86%							
4	(4)	1	14.29%							
5 excellent	(5)	3	42.86%							
				0	25	50	75	100	Instructor	
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median							
7/16 (43.75%)	4.00	1.00	4.00							

24 - Evaluate the ability of the professor to communicate ideas										
XINTONG WANG										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means		
1 poor	(1)	0	0%					3.57		
2	(2)	1	14.29%							
3 good	(3)	2	28.57%							
4	(4)	3	42.86%							
5 excellent	(5)	1	14.29%							
				0	25	50	75	100	Instructor	
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median							
7/16 (43.75%)	3.57	0.98	4.00							

Hobart and William Smith Colleges
Fall 2017 Final Course Evaluations

Course: ECON301-01: Micro. Theory and Pol.-01-ECON 301-01
Instructor: XINTONG WANG *

25 - How would you rate the adequacy of the professor's preparation for the class?									
XINTONG WANG									
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means	
1 poor	(1)	0	0%					3.86 	
2	(2)	0	0%						
3 good	(3)	2	28.57%						
4	(4)	4	57.14%						
5 excellent	(5)	1	14.29%						
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Instructor					
7/16 (43.75%)	3.86	0.69	4.00						

26 - Does the professor encourage students to ask questions, express their own ideas, etc.?									
XINTONG WANG									
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means	
1 not encouraging	(1)	0	0%					3.86 	
2	(2)	0	0%						
3 somewhat encouraging	(3)	2	28.57%						
4	(4)	4	57.14%						
5 very encouraging	(5)	1	14.29%						
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Instructor					
7/16 (43.75%)	3.86	0.69	4.00						

27 - Rate the professor's ability to stimulate your interest in the subject of this course.									
XINTONG WANG									
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means	
1 poor	(1)	0	0%					3.57 	
2	(2)	0	0%						
3 good	(3)	4	57.14%						
4	(4)	2	28.57%						
5 excellent	(5)	1	14.29%						
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Instructor					
7/16 (43.75%)	3.57	0.79	3.00						

28 - Overall, how would you rate this instructor?									
XINTONG WANG									
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means	
1 poor	(1)	0	0%					3.71 	
2	(2)	0	0%						
3 good	(3)	3	42.86%						
4	(4)	3	42.86%						
5 excellent	(5)	1	14.29%						
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Instructor					
7/16 (43.75%)	3.71	0.76	4.00						

Hobart and William Smith Colleges Fall 2017 Final Course Evaluations

Course: ECON301-01: Micro. Theory and Pol.-01-ECON 301-01

Instructor: XINTONG WANG *

29 - How would you evaluate the level of difficulty of the course?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
1 Underestimated my abilities	(1)	0	0%		3.00
2	(2)	1	14.29%		
3 correctly estimated my abilities	(3)	5	71.43%		
4	(4)	1	14.29%		
5 overestimated my abilities	(5)	0	0%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Instructor
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median		
7/16 (43.75%)	3.00	0.58	3.00		

30 - How would you rate the contribution of the readings to the course?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
1 poor	(1)	1	16.67%		3.33
2	(2)	0	0%		
3 good	(3)	2	33.33%		
4	(4)	2	33.33%		
5 excellent	(5)	1	16.67%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Instructor
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median		
6/16 (37.5%)	3.33	1.37	3.50		

31 - How much has your knowledge of the topic of this course increased?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
1 very little	(1)	0	0%		4.14
2	(2)	0	0%		
3 somewhat	(3)	1	14.29%		
4	(4)	4	57.14%		
5 very much	(5)	2	28.57%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Instructor
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median		
7/16 (43.75%)	4.14	0.69	4.00		

32 - How would you rate this course?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
1 poor	(1)	0	0%		3.86
2	(2)	0	0%		
3 good	(3)	3	42.86%		
4	(4)	2	28.57%		
5 excellent	(5)	2	28.57%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Instructor
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median		
7/16 (43.75%)	3.86	0.90	4.00		

33 - What did you like best about this course?

- The outlined structure of the class
- The course was structured very well and the professor was good at explaining all the concepts and took extra time going over things that were more difficult
- The way the teacher organized the notes.
- Learning about new economic concepts.

Hobart and William Smith Colleges

Fall 2017 Final Course Evaluations

Course: ECON301-01: Micro. Theory and Pol.-01-ECON 301-01

Instructor: XINTONG WANG *

34 - How could this course be improved?

- More real life examples in class and a more broad look at equations
- NA
- I'm not sure.

35 - Would you recommend this professor to a friend? Yes No Why or why not?

XINTONG WANG

- Yes, she makes sure that everyone knows what is going on before moving in
- Yes she is very good at teaching and spends time making sure all the students understand the material
- Yes easy to ask questions and helps to make sure you understand the questions
- yes I would.

36 - Other comments:

- NA
- Love the class and the professor. She is very passionate about the subject and does her best to encourage students.

37 - Which of the following describes why you enrolled in this course (not limited to one response)?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Required for Major	(1)	4	57.14%		
Required for Minor	(2)	2	28.57%		
General Interest	(3)	3	42.86%		
Reputation of the course	(4)	1	14.29%		
Reputation of the professor	(5)	0	0%		
				0 25 50 75 100	

38 - Which College do you attend:

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Hobart	(1)	4	57.14%		1.43
William Smith	(2)	3	42.86%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Instructor
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median		
7/16 (43.75%)	1.43	0.53	1.00		

39 - Are you currently a:

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
First Year	(1)	0	0%		2.71
Sophomore	(2)	3	42.86%		
Junior	(3)	3	42.86%		
Senior	(4)	1	14.29%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Instructor
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median		
7/16 (43.75%)	2.71	0.76	3.00		